

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,835	02/14/2001	Dirk Quintens	27500/016	1614
7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph T. Guy Ph.D.			DICUS, TAMRA	
Nexsen Pruet Jacobs & Pollard LLP 201 W. McBee Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29601			1774	:
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	8/\/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/782,835	QUINTENS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 September 2004</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folioplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evidence, whic compliance with 37 CFR 41.31	ch I; or
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 		e final rejection, whichever is later. In	n no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	1 110
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the control of the control of the shortened standard in the control of t	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under final Office action; or (2) as set forth	37 in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 October 2004</u> . A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replAMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	f the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered because	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	s for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-32	24).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment cance	ling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanatio	on of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessa	ary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fails to prov See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	ie ide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after t	entry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance becau	use:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that no teaching supports the combination of silica particles in a cation modified PVA produces silane modified PVA and alleges because Furukawa is newly cited art that a final rejection was improper. However, Applicant amended the claim to recite new limitations to several supports and a hydrolyzed copolymer of vinylaceate and silane monomer. Applicants argued that Mochizliki does not teach a hydrolred vinyl acetate and silane monomer, whereas the present invention is not a combination of PVA and silica. Therefore, the new Funtkawa reference was used to teach a hydrolyzed vinyl acetate and silane monomer as set forth above (col. 5, lines 22-25 of Furukawa). Thus, the final is proper as necessitated by amendment. The same starting materials are used as Applicant, thus the same end product is produced. Applicant has not provided objective evidence to disprove the prior art of record. The rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A. U. 1114 (2)